

# Metric spaces in computable structure theory

Johanna Franklin

Hofstra University

September 25, 2023

# "Algebraic" computable structure theory

A structure  $\mathcal{A}$  is *computably presented* if its **domain is  $\mathbb{N}$**  and all the functions and relations on  $\mathcal{A}$  are computable.

This works very well for linear orders, groups, rings, Boolean algebras, etc.

Metric structures, though, require us to adapt to a continuous setting. *How do we do this?*

# Metric structures

## Definition

A *metric structure* is a quintuple  $\mathcal{M} = (U, d, \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{C})$  such that  $(U, d)$  is a complete metric space and

1. For each  $T \in \mathcal{O}$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  so that  $T$  is a uniformly continuous  $n$ -ary operation on  $U$ .
2. For each  $f \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a positive integer  $n$  so that  $f$  is a uniformly continuous  $n$ -ary functional on  $U$ ; i.e.,  $f : U^n \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  and is uniformly continuous.
3.  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq U$ .

A presentation of a metric structure  $\mathcal{M}$  is a pair  $(\mathcal{M}, (p_n))$  such that the  $p_n$ s (the *distinguished points*) generate  $\mathcal{M}$ , and the *rational points* of a presentation are the points in the subspace generated by the distinguished points.

## Quick observation

We could view algebraic structures as special cases of metric structures with the discrete metric.

# Metric signatures

## Definition

A *metric signature*  $\mathcal{S}$  is a quintuple  $(\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{C}}, \eta, \Delta)$  where

1.  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}, \overline{\mathcal{F}}, \overline{\mathcal{C}}$  are pairwise disjoint sets of symbols,
2.  $\eta : \overline{\mathcal{O}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\eta$  is positive on  $\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}$ , and  $\eta(\bar{c}) = 0$  for each  $\bar{c} \in \overline{\mathcal{C}}$ , and
3.  $\Delta : (\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}) \times \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ .

# Presentations of signatures

A presentation  $\nu$  of a metric signature  $\mathcal{S}$  is a map from  $\mathbb{N}$  onto the symbols of  $\mathcal{S}$  and is computable if

- ▶ its inverse is computable,
- ▶  $\eta \circ \nu$  is computable, and
- ▶  $\Delta_{\nu(n)}$  is computable uniformly in  $n \in \nu^{-1}[\overline{\mathcal{O}} \cup \overline{\mathcal{F}}]$ .

# Computability of presentations of structures

## Definition

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  is a presentation of a metric structure  $\mathcal{M}$  that has a computable signature. We say  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  is *computable* if it satisfies the following conditions.

1. The metric of  $\mathcal{M}$  is computable on the rational points of  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ : if  $d$  denotes the metric of  $\mathcal{M}$ , then there is an algorithm that, given any two rational points  $p_1, p_2$  of  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  and a  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , computes a rational number  $q$  so that  $|q - d(p_1, p_2)| < 2^{-k}$ .
2. For every  $n$ -ary functional  $F$  of  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  and all rational points  $p_1, \dots, p_n$  of  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ ,  $F(p_1, \dots, p_n)$  is computable uniformly in  $F, p_1, \dots, p_n$ : there is an algorithm that, given  $F, p_1, \dots, p_n$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  as input, produces a rational scalar  $q$  so that  $|F(p_1, \dots, p_n) - q| < 2^{-k}$ .

# Computability of maps

## Theorem

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}_0^\#$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1^\#$  are presentations of metric structures with computable signatures, and let  $\Phi : |\mathcal{M}_0^\#| \rightarrow |\mathcal{M}_1^\#|$ . Then  $\Phi$  is a computable map of  $\mathcal{M}_0^\#$  into  $\mathcal{M}_1^\#$  if both of the following hold.

1.  $\Phi$  is computable on the rational points of  $\mathcal{M}_0^\#$ . That is, for every rational point  $p$  of  $\mathcal{M}_0^\#$ ,  $\Phi(p)$  is a computable point of  $\mathcal{M}_1^\#$  uniformly in  $p$ .
2. There is a computable modulus of continuity for  $\Phi$ .

Recall: a function  $g : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is a modulus of continuity for  $f$  if  $d(f(p_1, \dots, p_n), f(q_1, \dots, q_n)) < 2^{-k}$  whenever  $\max_j d(p_j, q_j) \leq 2^{-g(k)}$ .



# Isomorphisms

## Definition

Suppose  $\mathcal{M}_0$  and  $\mathcal{M}_1$  are interpretations of a metric signature  $\mathcal{S}$ , and let  $F : |\mathcal{M}_0| \rightarrow |\mathcal{M}_1|$ . We say  $F$  is an *isomorphism* if it is homeomorphic and satisfies the following.

1. For each  $n$ -ary operation symbol  $\bar{T}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  and all  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in |\mathcal{M}_0|$ ,  
$$F(\bar{T}^{\mathcal{M}_0}(p_1, \dots, p_n)) = \bar{T}^{\mathcal{M}_1}(F(p_1), \dots, F(p_n)).$$
2. For each  $n$ -ary functional symbol  $\bar{\phi}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$  and all  $p_1, \dots, p_n \in |\mathcal{M}_0|$ ,  
$$F(\bar{\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_0}(p_1, \dots, p_n)) = \bar{\phi}^{\mathcal{M}_1}(F(p_1), \dots, F(p_n)).$$
3. For each constant symbol  $\bar{c}$  of  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $F(\bar{c}^{\mathcal{M}_0}) = \bar{c}^{\mathcal{M}_1}$ .

A map  $\Phi : |\mathcal{M}_0| \rightarrow |\mathcal{M}_1|$  is *isometric* if it preserves distances.

# Lowness

A set  $X$  is *low* for a relativizable class  $\mathcal{C}$  if  $\mathcal{C}^X = \mathcal{C}$ .

Lowness has been studied in

- ▶ degree theory,
- ▶ randomness,
- ▶ computational learning theory, and
- ▶ computable structure theory.

## Goal

*Add computable metric structure theory to this list.*

# Lowness for isomorphism

## Definition

A Turing degree is *low for isomorphism* if, whenever it can compute an isomorphism between two computably presented structures, there is a computable isomorphism between them.

This class of degrees is not simple to describe.

# Warmup: metric spaces

## Theorem (F. and McNicholl)

*A Turing degree is low for isomorphism if and only if it is low for isometry.*

We use Melnikov's technique of representing a graph as a metric space. For a graph  $G = (V, E)$ , we define

$$d_G(v_0, v_1) = \begin{cases} 0 & v_0 = v_1 \\ 1 & (v_0, v_1) \in E \\ 2 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Let  $M(G)$  be the metric space defined using the above metric.

# Proof

Suppose  $\mathbf{d}$  is low for isometry and the graph  $G_0 = (V_0, E_0)$  is  $\mathbf{d}$ -isomorphic to the graph  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ .

- ▶ Since  $\mathbf{d}$  can compute an isomorphism from  $G_0$  to  $G_1$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$  can compute an isometry between  $M(G_0)$  to  $M(G_1)$ .
- ▶ Since  $\mathbf{d}$  is low for isometry, there is a computable isometry from  $M(G_0)$  to  $M(G_1)$ .
- ▶ Since  $\mathbf{0}$  can compute a distance-preserving function from  $M(G_0)$  to  $M(G_1)$ , there is a computable function that maps each pair of vertices in  $G_0$  to another pair of points in  $G_1$  with the same distance between them, that is, another pair of points with the same edge-relation.
- ▶ This function will give us a graph isomorphism from  $G_0$  to  $G_1$ .

# Complications

In our previous result, we were able to encode our metric space as a graph, which is a classic algebraic structure.

How do we get a result like this in the metric structure setting?

## Definition

1. Suppose  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  is a computable presentation of a metric structure  $\mathcal{M}$ . We say that  $\mathbf{d}$  is *low for  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  isometric isomorphism* if every computable presentation of  $\mathcal{M}$  that is  $\mathbf{d}$ -isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  is also computably isometrically isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ .
2. Suppose  $\mathcal{M}$  is a computably presentable metric structure. We say  $\mathbf{d}$  is *low for  $\mathcal{M}$  isometric isomorphism* if it is low for  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  isometric isomorphism whenever  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  is a computable presentation of  $\mathcal{M}$ .
3. Suppose  $\mathcal{K}$  is a class of computably presentable metric structures. We say  $\mathbf{d}$  is *low for isometric isomorphism of  $\mathcal{K}$ -structures* if  $\mathbf{d}$  is low for  $\mathcal{M}$  isometric isomorphism for every  $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{K}$ .
4. We say  $\mathbf{d}$  is *low for isometric isomorphism* if it is low for  $\mathcal{M}$  isometric isomorphism for every computably presentable structure  $\mathcal{M}$ .

# Lowness for paths

## Definition

A real  $A$  is *low for paths for Baire space* (or *low for paths for Cantor space*) if every  $\Pi_1^0$  class  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (or  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ) with an  $A$ -computable element has a computable element.



# Lowness for paths

## Definition

A real  $A$  is *low for paths for Baire space* (or *low for paths for Cantor space*) if every  $\Pi_1^0$  class  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (or  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$ ) with an  $A$ -computable element has a computable element.

## Question

*Are these notions different?*

# The answer

## Theorem (F. and Turetsky)

*A sequence  $A$  is low for paths for Baire space if and only if it is low for paths for Cantor space.*

The first clearly implies the second. For the other direction, we need the following:

## Lemma (Simpson)

*If  $\mathcal{P} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\mathcal{Q} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  are nonempty  $\Pi_1^0$ -classes, then there is a  $\Pi_1^0$ -class  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq 2^{\mathbb{N}}$  with  $\mathcal{R} \equiv_w \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{Q}$ .*

# Lowness for paths and lowness for isomorphism

## Theorem (F. and Turetsky)

*A Turing degree is low for paths if and only if it is low for isomorphism.*

## ...and lowness for isometric isomorphism

### Definition

A Turing degree is *low for isometric isomorphism* if for every computably presented metric structure  $\mathcal{M}$  and any two of its computable presentations  $\mathcal{M}^+$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ , whenever it can compute an isometric isomorphism between these presentations, there is a computable isometric isomorphism between them.

### Theorem (F. and McNicholl)

*A Turing degree is low for isomorphism if and only if it is low for isometric isomorphism.*

## Lemma

Let  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  and  $\mathcal{M}^+$  be computable presentations of a metric structure with signature  $\mathcal{S}$ . Then there is a  $\Pi_1^0$  class  $\mathcal{R} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$  so that for every Turing degree  $\mathbf{d}$ ,  $\mathbf{d}$  computes a point in  $\mathcal{R}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{d}$  computes an isometric isomorphism  $\Phi$  of  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  onto  $\mathcal{M}^+$ .

Let  $\Psi = \Phi^{-1}$ , and let  $(x_i)$  be the rational points of  $\mathcal{M}^\#$  and  $(y_j)$  the rational points of  $\mathcal{M}^+$ .

## Proof of Lemma

We define  $\mathcal{R}$  to be the set of all  $(f, g) \in (\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}})^2$ , recoded as elements of  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ , that satisfy the following conditions.

1.  $f$  and  $g$  give us (indices for) strong Cauchy sequences converging to  $\Phi(x_m)$  and  $\Psi(y_m)$ .
2. Distances between two points, values of  $n$ -ary operations  $T$ , values of  $n$ -ary functionals  $F$ , and values of constants  $c$  under  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  can be computed arbitrarily precisely.

This can all be expressed in a  $\Pi_1^0$  way, so  $\mathcal{R}$  is  $\Pi_1^0$ .

For instance: For every  $n$ -ary functional  $F$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  and all  $m, j_1, \dots, j_n, k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|F(x_{j_1}, \dots, x_{j_n}) - F(y_{f(j_1, k_1)}, \dots, y_{f(j_n, k_n)})| \leq 2^{-m}$$

provided  $\Delta_F(m) \leq \min_s k_s + 1$  for  $s$  between 1 and  $n$ .

Now we show that. . .

- ▶  $\Phi\Psi$  and  $\Psi\Phi$  are the identity on the rational points,
- ▶ since  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  are  $\mathbf{d}$ -computable on the rational points and they have a computable modulus of continuity, they are  $\mathbf{d}$ -computable,
- ▶  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  preserve operations, functionals, and constants.

Then if  $\mathbf{d}$  is low for isomorphism and computes an isometric isomorphism from  $\mathcal{M}^+$  onto  $\mathcal{M}^\#$ , it will compute a point of the appropriate  $\mathcal{R}$  and thus will be low for isometric isomorphism.

# Banach spaces

Let  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{F} \cap \mathbb{Q}(i)$ . We refer to the elements of  $\mathbb{F}_{\mathbb{Q}}$  as *rational scalars*.

Let  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Banach}}$  denote the metric signature of Banach spaces, which consists of a binary operation symbol  $+$ , a unary operation symbol  $\cdot_s$  for each rational scalar  $s$ , a unary functional symbol  $\| \cdot \|$ , and a constant symbol  $\mathbf{0}$ .

A Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$  can be represented as an interpretation of  $\mathcal{S}_{\text{Banach}}$ . There is no loss of generality due to the restriction to rational scalars: any map that preserves multiplication by rational scalars also preserves multiplication by scalars.



# Presentations of Banach spaces

If  $\mathcal{B}^\#$  is a presentation of a Banach space  $\mathcal{B}$ , then the rational points of  $\mathcal{B}^\#$  are precisely the rational linear combinations of distinguished points of  $\mathcal{B}^\#$ , i.e., vectors that can be expressed in the form  $\sum_{j \leq M} \alpha_j v_j$  where  $\alpha_j \in \mathbb{F}_Q$  and each  $v_j$  is a distinguished point of  $\mathcal{B}^\#$ .

## Theorem (F. and McNicholl)

*Every Turing degree that is low for isomorphism is also low for isometric isomorphism of Banach spaces.*

This follows immediately from the previous theorem.

# Why not a bidirectional result?

We don't have a method of effectively encoding members of a sufficiently universal class of countable algebraic structures into Banach spaces.

## References

- ▶ Franklin, Johanna N.Y. and McNicholl, Timothy H. Degrees of and lowness for isometric isomorphism. *Journal of Logic and Analysis*, vol. 12(6), pp. 1-23, 2020.
- ▶ Franklin, Johanna N.Y. and Turetsky, Dan. Taking the path computably traveled. *Journal of Logic and Computation*, vol. 29, pp. 969-973, 2019.
- ▶ Franklin, Johanna N.Y. and Solomon, Reed. Degrees that are low for isomorphism. *Computability*, vol. 3, pp. 73–89, 2014.
- ▶ Simpson, Stephen G. An extension of the recursively enumerable Turing degrees. *J. Lond. Math. Soc.*, vol. 75, pp. 287–297, 2007.

Thank you!