

Predicative presentation of stably locally compact locales

Tatsuji Kawai

Kochi University

CCC 2023, 25 September 2023

Theorem (See, e.g. Johnstone (1982))

Every stably compact locale is an adjoint retract of the ideals of a distributive lattice.

Theorem (See, e.g. Johnstone (1982))

Every stably compact locale is an adjoint retract of the ideals of a distributive lattice.

Proof.

If X is a stably compact locale, its ideal completion (the class of ideals over X seen as a distributive lattice) satisfies the required condition. □

Theorem (See, e.g. Johnstone (1982))

Every stably compact locale is an adjoint retract of the ideals of a distributive lattice.

Proof.

If X is a stably compact locale, its ideal completion (the class of ideals over X seen as a distributive lattice) satisfies the required condition. □

Theorem (This talk)

*Every stably (**locally**) compact locale is a dual frame adjoint retract of the ideals of a (**0-bounded**) distributive lattice.*

Theorem (See, e.g. Johnstone (1982))

Every stably compact locale is an adjoint retract of the ideals of a distributive lattice.

Proof.

If X is a stably compact locale, its ideal completion (the class of ideals over X seen as a distributive lattice) satisfies the required condition. □

Theorem (This talk)

*Every stably (**locally**) compact locale is a dual frame adjoint retract of the ideals of a (**0-bounded**) distributive lattice.*

☞ The latter seems to capture the duality of stably (locally) compact locales better.

Preliminary

Definition

- ▶ A subset U of a poset (P, \leq) is **directed** if it is inhabited and any two elements of U have an upper bound in U .
- ▶ A **dcpo** is a poset (D, \leq) in which every directed subset $U \subseteq D$ has a least upper bound, denoted by

$$\bigvee^{\uparrow} U := \text{the directed join of } U.$$

- ▶ For elements x, y of a dcpo D , we say that y is **way-below** x , denoted $y \ll x$, if for every directed subset $U \subseteq D$, we have

$$x \leq \bigvee^{\uparrow} U \rightarrow \exists z \in U (y \leq z).$$

- ▶ A **continuous domain** is a dcpo D in which every element is a directed join of elements way-below it, i.e., for each $x \in D$, the set $\downarrow x \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{y \in D \mid y \ll x\}$ is directed and $x = \bigvee^{\uparrow} \downarrow x$.

Definition

- ▶ A **preframe** is a dcpo (P, \leq) with a meet semilattice structure $(P, 1, \wedge)$ where finite meets distribute over directed joins:

$$x \wedge \bigvee^{\uparrow} U = \bigvee^{\uparrow}_{y \in U} (x \wedge y).$$

- ▶ A **frame** is a complete lattice $(X, 1, \wedge, \bigvee)$ where finite meets distribute over all joins: $x \wedge \bigvee U = \bigvee_{y \in U} (x \wedge y)$.
- ▶ A frame is **locally compact** if it is a continuous domain.
- ▶ A locally compact frame is **stably locally compact** if

$$x \ll y \ \& \ x \ll z \ \rightarrow \ x \ll y \wedge z.$$

Definition

- ▶ Let P and Q be preframes. A function $f: P \rightarrow Q$ is a **preframe homomorphism** if f preserves finite meets and **directed joins** (i.e., Scott continuous meet semilattice homomorphism).
- ▶ Let X and Y be frames. A function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a **frame homomorphism** if f preserves finite meets and **joins**.

Scott open filters

Let X be a frame.

Definition

A **Scott open filter** on X is a filter $\alpha \subseteq X$ such that

$$\bigvee^{\uparrow} U \in \alpha \rightarrow \exists y \in U (y \in \alpha).$$

SOF(X): the collection of Scott open filters on X .

Lemma

There exists a bijective correspondence between Scott open filters on X and preframe homomorphisms from X to $\mathbf{Pow}(1)$.

☛ We identify **SOF**(X) with $\mathbf{PreFrm}(X, \mathbf{Pow}(1))$.

Lemma

1. **SOF**(X) forms a preframe.
2. Every (pre-)frame homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between frames X and Y induces a preframe homomorphism $f^{\mathbf{d}}: \mathbf{SOF}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{SOF}(X)$ $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbf{Pow}(1) \mapsto h \circ f$.

Proposition

If X is stably locally compact, then $\mathbf{SOF}(X)$ has binary joins

$$\begin{aligned}\alpha \vee \beta &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \uparrow \{a \wedge b \mid a \in \alpha, b \in \beta\} \\ &= \{x \in X \mid \exists a \in \alpha \exists b \in \beta (a \wedge b \leq x)\}\end{aligned}$$

which distribute over finite meets (i.e., it is **almost** a frame).

Definition

A frame homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ between stably locally compact frames X and Y is said to be **dual** if $f^d: \mathbf{SOF}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbf{SOF}(X)$ preserves binary joins.

- SLCF: Category of stably locally compact frames and **frame homomorphisms**.
- SLCF_D: Category of stably locally compact frames and **dual frame homomorphisms**.

Splitting of idempotents

Splitting of idempotents

Definition

Let \mathbb{C} be a category.

- ▶ An **idempotent** is a morphism $f: A \rightarrow A$ such that $f \circ f = f$.
- ▶ An idempotent $f: A \rightarrow A$ **splits** if there exist morphisms $r: A \rightarrow B$ and $s: B \rightarrow A$ such that $s \circ r = f$ and $r \circ s = \text{id}_B$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & A \\ & \searrow r & \nearrow s \\ & & B \end{array}$$

Proposition

In SLCF and SLCF_D , every idempotent splits.

Proof.

If $f: X \rightarrow X$ is an idempotent in SLCF (for example), f factors through $X_f = f[X]$ as

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & X \\ & \searrow f|_X & \nearrow i \\ & & X_f \end{array}$$

Definition

Let \mathbb{C} be a category. The **Karoubi envelop** (or splitting of idempotents) of \mathbb{C} is a category $\mathbf{Karoubi}(\mathbb{C})$ where

- ▶ objects are **idempotents** in \mathbb{C} ,
- ▶ morphisms $h: (f: A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (g: B \rightarrow B)$ are morphisms $h: A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbb{C} such that $g \circ h = h = h \circ f$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \\ f \downarrow & \searrow h & \downarrow g \\ A & \xrightarrow{h} & B \end{array}$$

Proposition

Let \mathbb{C} be a category in which every idempotent splits (e.g. SLCF , $\text{SLCF}_{\mathbb{D}}$). If \mathbb{D} is a full subcategory of \mathbb{C} such that every object in \mathbb{C} is a retract of an object of \mathbb{D} , \mathbb{C} is equivalent to $\mathbf{Karoubi}(\mathbb{D})$.

- 👉 Find a suitable \mathbb{D} for SLCF and $\text{SLCF}_{\mathbb{D}}$.

Definition

- ▶ A **0-bounded distributive lattice** (0-bounded distributive lattice) is a distributive lattice with a least element 0.
- ▶ An **ideal** of a 0-bounded distributive lattice $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ is a subset $I \subseteq S$ which is downset and closed under finite joints.
- ▶ The **ideal completion** $\text{Idl}(S)$ of a 0-bounded distributive lattice S is the collection of ideals of S .

Lemma

For any 0-bounded distributive lattice $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$, the ideal completion $\text{Idl}(S)$ is a stably locally compact frame.

Proof.

The frame structure of $\text{Idl}(S)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset, & I \vee J &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \downarrow \{a \vee b \mid a \in I \& b \in J\}, \\ \bigvee_{k \in I}^{\uparrow} I_k &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigcup_{k \in K} I_k, & I \wedge J &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \wedge b \mid a \in I, b \in J\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem

Every stably locally compact frame is a dual frame retract of the ideal completion of a 0-bounded distributive lattice.

Proof.

Let X be a stably locally compact frame.

Theorem

Every stably locally compact frame is a dual frame retract of the ideal completion of a 0-bounded distributive lattice.

Proof.

Let X be a stably locally compact frame.

First try (AVM 2023)

Consider X as a distributive lattice as in Johnstone (1982), and define $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(X)$ by $f(x) = \downarrow x$.

Theorem

Every stably locally compact frame is a dual frame retract of the ideal completion of a 0-bounded distributive lattice.

Proof.

Let X be a stably locally compact frame.

First try (AVM 2023)

Consider X as a distributive lattice as in Johnstone (1982), and define $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(X)$ by $f(x) = \downarrow x$. **✗ It is not dual.**

Theorem

Every stably locally compact frame is a dual frame retract of the ideal completion of a 0-bounded distributive lattice.

Proof.

Let X be a stably locally compact frame.

First try (AVM 2023)

Consider X as a distributive lattice as in Johnstone (1982), and define $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(X)$ by $f(x) = \downarrow x$. ❌ It is not dual.

Second try (Verona 2023)

Consider $\mathbf{SOF}(X)^{\text{op}}$, which is almost a frame (lacking the top). Let

$$S = (\mathbf{SOF}(X)^{\text{op}}, 1, \wedge, \vee).$$

There exists a frame homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(S)$ defined by $f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \downarrow \{\uparrow a \mid a \ll x\}$.

Theorem

Every stably locally compact frame is a dual frame retract of the ideal completion of a 0-bounded distributive lattice.

Proof.

Let X be a stably locally compact frame.

First try (AVM 2023)

Consider X as a distributive lattice as in Johnstone (1982), and define $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(X)$ by $f(x) = \downarrow x$. ❌ It is not dual.

Second try (Verona 2023)

Consider $\mathbf{SOF}(X)^{\text{op}}$, which is almost a frame (lacking the top). Let

$$S = (\mathbf{SOF}(X)^{\text{op}}, 1, \wedge, \vee).$$

There exists a frame homomorphism $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(S)$ defined by $f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \downarrow \{\uparrow a \mid a \ll x\}$. ❌ Its retract is not a frame homomorphism.

Proof continued.

Third try (CCC 2023)

Consider $S_X = (\text{Fin}^+(\downarrow 1), \leq)$ where

$$A \leq B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \bigwedge A \leq \bigwedge B \ \& \ \forall C (B \ll_U C \rightarrow \exists D (A \ll_U D \ \& \ \bigwedge D \leq \bigwedge C))$$

where $A \ll_U B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall b \in B \exists a \in A (a \ll b)$.

Define a 0-bounded distributive lattice $S_X = (S_X, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ by

$$0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{0\}, \quad A \vee B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \vee b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad A \wedge B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \cup B.$$

Lastly, define $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(S_X)$ by

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in S_X \mid \exists B (A \ll_U B \ \& \ \bigwedge B \leq x)\}.$$

Proof continued.

Third try (CCC 2023)

Consider $S_X = (\text{Fin}^+(\downarrow 1), \leq)$ where

$$A \leq B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \bigwedge A \leq \bigwedge B \ \& \ \forall C (B \ll_U C \rightarrow \exists D (A \ll_U D \ \& \ \bigwedge D \leq \bigwedge C))$$

where $A \ll_U B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall b \in B \exists a \in A (a \ll b)$.

Define a 0-bounded distributive lattice $S_X = (S_X, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ by

$$0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{0\}, \quad A \vee B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \vee b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}, \quad A \wedge B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \cup B.$$

Lastly, define $f: X \rightarrow \text{Idl}(S_X)$ by

$$f(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{A \in S_X \mid \exists B (A \ll_U B \ \& \ \bigwedge B \leq x)\}. \quad \checkmark \text{ It works!} \quad \square$$

Definition (Subcategories of stably locally compact frames)

0-DL_F : A full subcategory of SLCF consisting of ideal completions of 0-bounded distributive lattices.

0-DL_D : A full subcategory of SLCF_D consisting of ideal completions of 0-bounded distributive lattices.

Theorem

1. SLCF is equivalent to $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_F)$.
2. SLCF_D is equivalent to $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_D)$.

Strong quasi-proximity lattices

First, We look into $\text{SLCF} \cong \mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_F)$.

Aim

Give a predicative characterisation of 0-DL_F .

- Then, we obtain a predicative representation of SLCF by the construction $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_F)$.

Definition

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ and $(S', 0', \vee', \wedge')$ be 0-bounded distributive lattices.

A **proximity relation** from S to S' is a relation $r \subseteq S \times S'$ such that

- $r^{-}b \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \in S \mid a r b\}$ is an ideal of S ,
- $ra \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in S' \mid a r b\}$ is a filter of S' ,
- $a r 0' \rightarrow a = 0$,
- $a r (b \vee' c) \rightarrow \exists b' r b \exists c' r c (a \leq b' \vee c')$.

Proposition

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ and $(S', 0', \vee', \wedge')$ be 0-bounded distributive lattices.

- ▶ There exists a bijective correspondence between frame homomorphisms $f: \text{Idl}(S') \rightarrow \text{Idl}(S)$ and proximity relations $r: S \rightarrow S'$.
- ▶ The identity function on $\text{Idl}(S)$ corresponds to the underlying order \leq on S .
- ▶ The composition of frame homomorphisms contravariantly corresponds to the relational composition of proximity relations.

0-DL_{PX} : Category of 0-b. dist. lattices and **proximity relations**.

Corollary

- ▶ 0-DL_{PX} is dually equivalent to 0-DL_{F} .
- ▶ $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{PX}})$ is dually equivalent to $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{F}})$.
- ▶ $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{PX}})$ is equivalent to SLCF^{op} .

An explicit description of **Karoubi**(0-DL_{PX}).

Definition

A **quasi-proximity lattice** is a structure $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ where $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ is a 0-bounded distributive lattice and \prec is a relation on S satisfying

1. $\prec \circ \prec = \prec$,
2. $\downarrow_{\prec} a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in S \mid b \prec a\}$ is an ideal of S ,
3. $\uparrow_{\succ} a \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{b \in S \mid b \succ a\}$ is a filter of S ,
4. $a \prec 0 \rightarrow a = 0$,
5. $a \prec (b \vee c) \rightarrow \exists b' \prec b \exists c' \prec c (a \leq (b' \vee c'))$.

Definition

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ and $(S', 0', \vee', \wedge', \prec')$ be quasi-proximity lattices. A **proximity relation** from S to S' is a relation $r \subseteq S \times S'$ such that

1. $\prec' \circ r = r = r \circ \prec$,
2. r^-b is an ideal of S ,
3. ra is a filter of S' ,
4. $a r 0' \rightarrow a = 0$,
5. $a r (b \vee' c) \rightarrow \exists b' r b \exists c' r c (a \leq b' \vee c')$.

\mathbf{qPxL} : Category of quasi-proximity lattices and proximity relations.

Theorem (Predicative presentation of SLCF)

$\mathbf{qPxL} := \mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{PX}}) \cong \mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{F}})^{\text{op}} \cong \mathbf{SLCF}^{\text{op}}$.

Strong quasi-proximity lattices

Using representation $\text{SLCF}_D \cong \mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_D)$, we refine qPxL to obtain a stronger structure.

Definition

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ and $(S', 0', \vee', \wedge')$ be 0-bounded distributive lattices. A **dual proximity relation** from S to S' is a proximity relation $r \subseteq S \times S'$ which satisfies

$$(a \wedge b) r c \rightarrow \exists a', b' \in S' (a r a' \ \& \ b r b' \ \& \ (a' \wedge b') \leq' c).$$

Proposition

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ and $(S', 0', \vee', \wedge')$ be 0-bounded distributive lattices. Then, the bijection between frame homomorphisms $f: \text{Idl}(S') \rightarrow \text{Idl}(S)$ and proximity relations $r: S \rightarrow S'$ restricts to dual frame homomorphisms and dual proximity relations.

Strong quasi-proximity lattices

0-DL_{DPX} : Category of 0-bounded distributive lattices and **dual proximity relations**.

Corollary

- ▶ 0-DL_{DPX} is dually equivalent to 0-DL_{D} .
- ▶ $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{DPX}})$ is dually equivalent to $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{D}})$.
- ▶ $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{DPX}})$ is equivalent to $\text{SLCF}_{\text{D}}^{\text{op}}$.

Definition (Objects of $\mathbf{Karoubi}(0\text{-DL}_{\text{DPX}})$)

A **strong quasi-proximity lattice** is a quasi-proximity lattice $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ which satisfies

$$(a \wedge b) \prec c \rightarrow \exists a' \succ a \exists b' \succ b ((a' \wedge b') \leq c).$$

SqPxL : Full subcategory of qPxL consisting of strong quasi-proximity lattices.

Theorem

SqPxL is equivalent to $\text{SLCF}_{\text{D}}^{\text{op}}$.

Logical characterisation

Finitary formal topologies

A **finitary formal topology** is a structure $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft)$, where (S, \wedge) is a semilattice and $\triangleleft \subseteq S \times \text{Fin}(S)$ is a relation satisfying

$$\frac{a \in A}{a \triangleleft A} \quad \frac{a \triangleleft A \quad A \triangleleft B}{a \triangleleft B} \quad \frac{a \triangleleft A}{a \wedge b \triangleleft A} \quad \frac{a \triangleleft A \quad a \triangleleft B}{a \triangleleft A \wedge B}$$

$$A \triangleleft B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall a \in A (a \triangleleft B),$$

$$A \wedge B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{a \wedge b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Theorem (Negri (1996). Stone representation)

A finitary formal topology $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft)$ determines a 0-bounded distributive lattice $L(S, \wedge, \triangleleft) = (\text{Fin}(S)/\sim, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ where

$$A \sim B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \triangleleft B \ \& \ B \triangleleft A,$$

$$0 \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \emptyset, \quad A \vee B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \cup B, \quad A \wedge B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \wedge B.$$

Conversely, any 0-bounded distributive lattice $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge)$ can be represented in this way by a finitary formal topology on (S, \wedge) :

$$a \triangleleft_{\vee} A \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a \leq \bigvee A.$$

Stably continuous covers

A **stably continuous cover** is a structure $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft, \prec)$ where $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft)$ is a finitary formal topology and \prec is a relation on S s.t.

1. $\prec \circ \prec = \prec$,
2. $\downarrow_{\prec} a$ is downward closed,
3. $\uparrow_{\succ} a$ is a filter of S ,
4. $a \wedge b \prec c \rightarrow \exists a' \succ a \exists b' \succ b ((a' \wedge b') \leq c)$,
5. $\exists b \in S (a \prec b \triangleleft A) \leftrightarrow \exists B \in \text{Fin}(S) (a \triangleleft B \prec_L A)$,

where $A \prec_L B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall a \in A \exists b \in B (a \prec b)$.

Theorem (Stone representation (continuous version))

A stably continuous cover $\mathcal{S} = (S, \wedge, \triangleleft, \prec)$ determines a strong quasi-proximity lattice $\text{SqPL}(\mathcal{S}) = (\mathbf{L}(S, \wedge, \triangleleft), \ll)$ where

$$A \ll B \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists C \in \text{Fin}(S) (A \triangleleft C \prec_L B).$$

Conversely, any strong quasi-proximity lattice $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ can be represented in this way by $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft_{\vee}, \prec)$.

Patch topology

Generated finitary formal topologies

Let (S, \wedge) be a semilattice. Given any relation $\triangleleft_0 \subseteq S \times \text{Fin}(S)$ (called **axioms**), we can inductively generate a finitary formal topology $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft)$ by the following rules:

$$\frac{a \in A}{a \triangleleft A} \qquad \frac{a \triangleleft A}{a \wedge b \triangleleft A} \qquad \frac{a \triangleleft_0 A \quad A \wedge b \triangleleft B}{a \wedge b \triangleleft B}$$

In this case, $(S, \wedge, \triangleleft)$ is said to be **generated** by \triangleleft_0 .

Patch topology

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ be a strong quasi-proximity lattice.

Let $P(S) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} S \times S$, which is ordered by

$$(a, b) \leq (c, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} c \leq a \ \& \ b \leq d,$$

and is equipped with a semilattice structure

$$(a, b) \wedge (c, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} (a \vee c, b \wedge d).$$

Let \prec be an idempotent relation on $P(S)$ defined by

$$(a, b) \prec (c, d) \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} c \prec a \ \& \ b \prec d.$$

Let \triangleleft_{PT} be a finitary formal topology on $(P(S), \wedge)$ generated by \triangleleft_0 :

$$(a \wedge b, c) \triangleleft_0 \{(a, c), (b, c)\}$$

$$(a, b \vee c) \triangleleft_0 \{(a, b), (a, c)\}$$

$$(a, b) \triangleleft_0 \emptyset \qquad (b \prec a)$$

$$(c, d) \triangleleft_0 \{(c, b), (a, d)\} \qquad (a \prec b)$$

$\text{Patch}(S) = (P(S), \wedge, \triangleleft_{PT}, \prec)$ is a strong stably continuous cover.

Geometric interpretation

Definition

Let $\mathcal{S} = (S, \wedge, \triangleleft, \prec)$ be a stably continuous cover. A **model** of \mathcal{S} is a filter $\alpha \subseteq S$ of (S, \wedge) such that

1. $a \in \alpha \leftrightarrow \exists b \prec a (b \in \alpha)$,
2. $a \triangleleft B \ \& \ a \in \alpha \rightarrow \exists b \in B (b \in \alpha)$.

In other words, a model of \mathcal{S} is a **rounded prime filter**.

Example

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ be a strong quasi-proximity lattice.

A model of $\text{Patch}(S)$ can be identified with a pair (L, U) of a rounded prime ideal L and a rounded prime filter U on S such that

- ▶ $L \cap U = \emptyset$ (disjoint),
- ▶ $a \prec b \rightarrow a \in L$ or $b \in U$ (located).

Example (Dedekind cuts)

Let $S = (\mathbb{Q}^{\geq 0}, 0, \max, \min, \prec)$ be the upper half line.

A model of $\text{Patch}(S)$ can be identified with a Dedekind cut.

Universal property of $\text{Patch}(S)$

Definition

A strong quasi-proximity lattice $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ is **regular** if

$$\forall x, a, b \in S [a \prec b \rightarrow \exists c \in S (c \wedge a = 0 \ \& \ x \prec c \vee b)].$$

Lemma

For any strong quasi-proximity lattice S , $\text{Patch}(S)$ determines a regular strong quasi-proximity lattice $\text{SqPL}(\text{Patch}(S))$.

Definition

A proximity relation $r: S \rightarrow S'$ is a **perfect map** if

$$a \prec' b \rightarrow \exists c \in r^{-}b (r^{-}a \subseteq \downarrow_{\prec}c).$$

Theorem

Let $(S, 0, \vee, \wedge, \prec)$ be a strong quasi-proximity lattice. There exists a perfect map $\varepsilon: \text{SqPL}(\text{Patch}(S)) \rightarrow S$ such that for any perfect map $r: S' \rightarrow S$ where S' is regular, there exists a unique perfect map

$\tilde{r}: S' \rightarrow \text{SqPL}(\text{Patch}(S))$ such that $S' \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}} \text{SqPL}(\text{Patch}(S))$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S' & \xrightarrow{\tilde{r}} & \text{SqPL}(\text{Patch}(S)) \\ & \searrow r & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ & & S \end{array}$$