

The Compact Hyperspace Monad: a Constructive Approach

Dieter Spreen

University of Siegen

Continuity, Computability, Constructivity: From Logic to Algorithms
(CCC 2023)

Kyoto, Japan, 25 - 29 September, 2022

Let $\mathcal{P}: \mathbf{Set} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ be the powerset functor. Then it is well known that (\mathcal{P}, η, U) is a monad, where for a set X , $x \in X$ and $Y \in \mathcal{P}^2(X)$,

$$\eta_X(x) = \{x\} \quad \text{and} \quad U_X(Y) = \bigcup Y.$$

The same is true in case of the compact hyperspace functor \mathcal{K} mapping a compact Hausdorff space to its hyperspace of nonempty compact subsets.

In joint work with U. Berger a general framework for extracting algorithms computing with elements of compact metric spaces as well as compact subsets of such from proofs in a many-sorted intuitionistic first-order logic extended by strictly positive inductive and coinductive definitions has been introduced.

The approach is computationally equivalent to Weihrauch's Type-Two Theory of Effectivity.

However, contrary to this approach it is purely logical and representation-free. Representations of the computed objects are obtained via a realisability interpretation of the logic.

Note that though the logic is basically intuitionistic, a fair amount of classical logic is available: any true disjunction-free formula can be used as axiom.

1. Digit spaces

Definition

Let (X, μ) be a compact metric space and $D = \{d_1, \dots, d_n\}$ be a finite set of contracting maps $d: X^{\text{ar}(d)} \rightarrow X$ of finite arity $\text{ar}(d)$. Then (X, D) is called **digit space** if

$$X = \bigcup \{ \text{range}(d) \mid d \in D \}. \quad (1)$$

The $d \in D$ are called **digits**. In case of (1) we also say they are **covering**.

Example (Signed digit representation)

Let

$$X = [-1, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad D = \{ \text{av}_i \mid i = -1, 0, 1 \},$$

where

$$\text{av}_i(x) = (x + i)/2.$$

Then $\text{range}(\text{av}_i) = [(-1 + i)/2, (1 + i)/2]$ and hence (1) holds.

This is also an example of a digit space such that all digits have arity 1. Such spaces are called **proper**.

Let \mathbb{C}_X be the coinductively largest subset of X such that

$$x \in \mathbb{C}_X \rightarrow (\exists d) \bigvee_{\sigma=1}^n d = d_\sigma \wedge$$
$$(\exists y_1, \dots, y_{\text{ar}(d)}) \bigwedge_{\kappa=1}^{\text{ar}(d)} y_\kappa \in \mathbb{C}_X \wedge x = d(y_1, \dots, y_{\text{ar}(d)}). \quad (2)$$

Then $X = \mathbb{C}_X$.

Since X may be a classically defined object, this equality is true only classically.

In the constructive approach one works with \mathbb{C}_X instead of X , where in (2) the disjunction has to be interpreted constructively.

Thus, if e.g. all digits have arity 1, given $x_0 \in X$, one obtains some $d_0 \in D$ and some $x_1 \in X$ with $x_0 = d_0(x_1)$. By iterating this procedure, one therefore receives a sequence $(d_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of self-maps in D so that for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$x_0 \in \text{range}(d_0 \circ \dots \circ d_i).$$

Let (X, D) be proper and $d_1, \dots, d_r \in D$ be pairwise distinct. Define $[d_1, \dots, d_r]: \mathcal{K}(X)^r \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ by

$$[d_1, \dots, d_r](K_1, \dots, K_r) := \bigcup_{\nu=1}^r \mathcal{K}(d_\nu)(K_\nu),$$

where $\mathcal{K}(d)(K) = d[K]$.

Let $\mathcal{K}(D)$ be the finite set of all such maps $[d_1, \dots, d_r]$.

As is well known $\mathcal{K}(X)$ is a compact metric space with respect to the Hausdorff metric.

Assume that all $d \in D$ have contraction factor q . If $\mathcal{K}(X)^r$ is endowed with the maximum metric the maps $[d_1, \dots, d_r]$ are also contracting with contraction factor q .

Moreover, the $\vec{d} \in \mathcal{K}(D)$ are covering. Therefore, $(\mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{K}(D))$ is digit space and hence we have

$$\mathcal{K}(X) = \mathbb{C}_{\mathcal{K}(X)}.$$

One would like to iterate the process described above to obtain coinductive characterisations of the higher compact hyperspaces $\mathcal{K}^n(X)$ with $n > 1$. Let us hereto switch to a more general setting.

Definition

For compact Hausdorff spaces X, Y and finite sets F of continuous maps $f: X^{\text{ar}(f)} \rightarrow Y$ we write

$$X \xrightarrow{F} Y$$

to mean that for every $y \in Y$ there are $f \in F$ and $x_1, \dots, x_{\text{ar}(f)} \in X$ with $y = f(x_1, \dots, x_{\text{ar}(f)})$.

► **Products**

Let $X \xrightarrow{F} Y$ and $X' \xrightarrow{F'} Y'$. Without restriction let all maps in $F \cup F'$ have the same arity, say s (introduce redundant arguments).

For $f \in F$ and $f' \in F'$ set

$$\langle f, f' \rangle(x, x') = (f(x), f'(x'))$$

and let $\langle F, F' \rangle$ be the set of all such pairs. Then

$$X \times X' \xrightarrow{\langle F, F' \rangle} Y \times Y'.$$

Instead of $\langle F, \dots, F \rangle$ (n times) we write $\Pi_n F$.

► **Compact hyperspaces**

Let $X \xrightarrow{F} Y$ and $\mathcal{K}(F)$ be as defined earlier. We assume that all $\vec{f} \in \mathcal{K}(F)$ have the same arity $\|F\|$. Then

$$\mathcal{K}(X)^{\|F\|} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(F)} \mathcal{K}(Y).$$

If (X, D) is a digit space, we assume again that all digits have the same arity s_D . By unfolding the coinductive definition of \mathbb{C}_X we obtain a sequence

$$X_0 \xleftarrow{D_0} X_1 \xleftarrow{D_1} X_2 \xleftarrow{D_2} X_3 \leftarrow \dots$$

where

$$X_0 = X, X_{i+1} = X_i^{s_D}, D_0 = D, D_{i+1} = \Pi_{s_D} D_i.$$

Note here and in the next diagram that we have introduced the powers so that the maps involved are unary.

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{K}(X_0) &\xleftarrow{\mathcal{K}(D_0)} \mathcal{K}(X_1)^{\|D_0\|} \\ &\xleftarrow{\Pi_{\|D_0\|} \mathcal{K}(D_1)} (\mathcal{K}(X_2)^{\|D_1\|})^{\|D_0\|} \\ &\xleftarrow{\Pi_{\|D_0\|} (\Pi_{\|D_1\|} \mathcal{K}(D_2))} (((\mathcal{K}(X_3)^{\|D_2\|})^{\|D_1\|})^{\|D_0\|}) \\ &\leftarrow \dots \end{aligned}$$

If we assume that (X, D) is proper, then $s_D = 1$, $X_i = X$, and $D_i = D$, for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and hence

$$\mathcal{K}(X_0) = \mathcal{K}(X), \mathcal{K}(X_1) = \mathcal{K}(X)^{\|D\|}, \mathcal{K}(X_2) = \mathcal{K}(X)^{\|D\|^2}, \dots$$

Therefore

$$\mathcal{K}^2(X_0) = \mathcal{K}^2(X), \mathcal{K}^2(X_1) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(X_1)) = \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{K}(X)^{\|D\|}).$$

Hence the maps from $\mathcal{K}^2(X_1)$ to $\mathcal{K}^2(X_0)$ are no longer self-maps of $\mathcal{K}^2(X)$.

This shows that the digit space concept is too narrow to deal with the higher compact hyperspaces. The generalisation we just used, however, opens us a promising way to follow.

For each cochain $(Y_{i+1} \xrightarrow{F_i} Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ let

- ▶ $\mathfrak{Y} = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} Y_i$ be the topological sum of the $(Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and
- ▶ $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \{i\} \times F_i$ be the disjoint union of the F_i .

Then $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{F})$ is a locally finite, infinite (extended) IFS. The maps in \mathfrak{F} operate only locally on the components, i.e. for $(i, f) \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $(j, y_\kappa) \in \mathfrak{Y}$.

$$(i, f)((j_1, y_1), \dots, (j_{\text{ar}(f)}, y_{\text{ar}(f)})) = \begin{cases} (i, f(y_1, \dots, y_{\text{ar}(f)})) \\ \quad \text{if } j_\kappa = i + 1, (1 \leq \kappa \leq \text{ar}(f)), \\ \text{undefined} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For what follows assume that the Y_i are compact metric spaces and the $f \in F_i$ are contractions. Then \mathfrak{Y} carries a canonical ∞ -metric coinciding with the given metrics on the components.

Let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}}$ be the coinductively largest subset of \mathfrak{Y} such that

$$(i, y) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \rightarrow (\exists f) f \in F_i \wedge (\exists z_1, \dots, z_{\text{ar}(f)}) \\ \bigwedge_{\kappa=1}^{\text{ar}(f)} (i+1, z_{\kappa}) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \wedge (i, y) = (i, f)((i+1, z_1), \dots, (i+1, z_{\text{ar}(f)})).$$

Then (classically)

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}}.$$

Set

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\langle 0 \rangle} = \{ y \mid (0, y) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}} \}.$$

This will be the objects of our category.

Remark. Note that the family $(F_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ of predicates has to be definable in the underlying logic.

2. Morphisms

Let $(X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(Y_{i+1} \xrightarrow{E_i} Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be cochains and $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{E})$ the associated infinite IFS.

Moreover, for $m > 0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and $j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^{(j)} = \{ f : \mathfrak{X}^m \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y} \mid \\ \text{dom}(f) = \times_{\nu=1}^m (\{j_\nu\} \times X_{j_\nu}) \wedge \text{range}(f) \subseteq \{j\} \times Y_j \},$$

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_m} = \bigcup \{ \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^{(j)} \mid j \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})^{(j)} = \bigcup \{ \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^{(j)} \mid j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m \in \mathbb{N} \},$$

$$\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}) = \bigcup_{m > 0, j \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_m} \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_m}^{(j)}.$$

The following is a generalisation of U. Berger's coinductive-inductive characterisation of the uniformly continuous functions on the unit interval.

Define $\Phi : \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow (\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(F)(G) = \{ f \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}) \mid & \\ & [(\exists (i, e) \in \mathfrak{E})(\exists h_1, \dots, h_{\text{ar}(e)} \in F \cap \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y}))^{(i+1)} \\ & \quad f = (i, e) \circ (h_1 \times \dots \times h_{\text{ar}(e)})] \vee \\ & [(\exists j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{\text{ar}(f)} \in \mathbb{N}) f \in \mathbb{F}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_{\text{ar}(f)}} \wedge \\ & \quad (\exists 1 \leq \nu \leq \text{ar}(f)) (\forall d \in D_{j_\nu}) f \circ (j_\nu, d^{(\nu, \text{ar}(f))}) \in G] \} \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d^{(\nu, m)}((j_1, x_1), \dots, (j_m, x_m)) = \\ ((j_1, x_1), \dots, (j_{\nu-1}, x_{\nu-1}), (j_\nu, d(x_\nu)), (j_{\nu+1}, x_{\nu+1}), \dots, (j_m, x_m)), \end{aligned}$$

for $x_\kappa \in X_{j_\kappa}$ ($\kappa \in \{j_1, \dots, j_m\} \setminus \{j_\nu\}$) and $x_\nu \in X_{j_{\nu+1}}$.

Set

$$\mathcal{J}(F) = \mu\Phi(F).$$

Then $\mathcal{J}(F)$ is the least subset G of $\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ so that

W If $(i, e) \in \mathfrak{E}$ and $\vec{h} \in (F \cap \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y}))^{(i+1)\text{ar}(e)}$ then $(i, e) \circ \vec{h} \in G$.

R If $f \in \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})$ and $\nu, j_1, \dots, j_{\text{ar}(f)} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that

- ▶ $j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_{\text{ar}(f)}$ and $f \in \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{j_1, \dots, j_{\text{ar}(f)}}$
- ▶ $1 \leq \nu \leq \text{ar}(f)$ and for all $d \in D_{j_\nu}$, $f \circ d^{(\nu, \text{ar}(f))} \in G$,

then $f \in G$.

Set

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})} = \nu\mathcal{J} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{Y}^{(0)})} = \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})} \cap \bigcup_{m>0} \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{0^{(m)}}^{(0)}$$

where $x^{(m)} = (x, \dots, x)$ (m times).

Proposition

Let $(X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, $(Y_{i+1} \xrightarrow{E_i} Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Z_{i+1} \xrightarrow{C_i} Z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be cochains and $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{E})$, $(\mathfrak{Z}, \mathfrak{C})$ be the associated infinite IFS. If $f \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{Y}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{Z}^{(0)})}$ and $g_1, \dots, g_{\text{ar}(f)} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{Y}^{(0)})}$, then $f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_{\text{ar}(f)}) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{Z}^{(0)})}$.

Proof Let

$$F = \{ f \circ (g_1, \dots, g_{\text{ar}(f)}) \mid f \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z})} \wedge g_1, \dots, g_{\text{ar}(f)} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})} \}.$$

Then, by coinduction according to the definition of $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Z})}$ one has to show that

$$F \subseteq \mathcal{J}^{\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Z}}(F).$$

That is one needs to show that $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z})} \subseteq G$, where

$$G = \{ f \in \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{Z}) \mid (\forall g_1, \dots, g_{\text{ar}(f)} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})}) \\ f \circ (g_1 \times \dots \times g_{\text{ar}(f)}) \in \mathcal{J}^{\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Z}}(F) \}.$$

Since $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(2,3)} = \mathcal{J}^{2,3}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(2,3)})$, it suffices to show

$$\mathcal{J}^{2,3}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(2,3)}) \subseteq G.$$

By the inductive definition of $\mathcal{J}^{2,3}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(2,3)})$ it is therefore sufficient to demonstrate that

$$\Phi^{2,3}(\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(2,3)})(G) \subseteq G,$$

which means that one has to show that the corresponding Rules (W) and (R) hold.

This kind of proof is typical for all the next results.

Lemma

$\text{id}_{\mathfrak{X}^{\langle 0 \rangle}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \mathfrak{X}^{\langle 0 \rangle})}$.

Proposition

For $m \geq 0$ let $\text{ev}: \mathbb{F}^{(m)}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{0^{(m)}}^{(0)} \times X_0^m \rightarrow Y_0$ with $\text{ev}(f, \vec{x}) = f(\vec{x})$ be the evaluation map. Then

$$\text{ev}[\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \mathfrak{Y}^{\langle 0 \rangle})}^{(m)} \times (\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\langle 0 \rangle})^m] \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{Y}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}.$$

That is the spaces $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})}$ behave properly with respect to evaluation.

For $f \in \mathbb{F}^{(1)}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_0^{(0)}$ and $K \in \mathcal{K}(X_0)$, $\mathcal{K}(f)(K) = f[K]$. If f is continuous, we know that $f[K] \in \mathcal{K}(Y_0)$ and $\mathcal{K}(f)$ is continuous as well. The next result is an analogue of this statement in the constructive framework presented here.

Theorem

Let $(X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $(Y_{i+1} \xrightarrow{E_i} Y_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be cochains and $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$, $(\mathfrak{Y}, \mathfrak{E})$ the associated infinite IFS. Then for all $f \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \mathfrak{Y}^{\langle 0 \rangle})}^{(1)}$,

$$\mathcal{K}(f) \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{Y})^{\langle 0 \rangle})}.$$

Here, $(\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{D}))$ is obtained from $(X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the following way. First, we have to introduce powers of the involved spaces so that the maps in the sets D_i all are unary:

$$X'_i = (\cdots ((X_i^{s_{i-1}})^{s_{i-2}}) \cdots)^{s_0}, \quad D'_i = \Pi_{s_{i-1}} \cdots \Pi_{s_0} D_i$$

where s_i is the maximal arity of the maps in D_i . Then by applying the functor \mathcal{K} to each $X'_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D'_i} X'_i$, we obtain the cochain

$(\mathcal{K}(X'_{i+1}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{K}(D'_i)} \mathcal{K}(X'_i))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, of which, finally, $(\mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X}), \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{D}))$ is the associated infinite IFS.

It follows that the structure **CDS** with

- ▶ Objects: $\mathbb{C}_{\mathfrak{X}}^{\langle 0 \rangle}$, for cochains $(X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with compact metric spaces X_i and finite sets D_i of contractions
 $d: D_{i+1} \rightarrow D_i$
- ▶ Morphisms: $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \mathfrak{Y}^{\langle 0 \rangle})}^{(1)}$

is a category and $\mathfrak{K}: \mathbf{CDS} \rightarrow \mathbf{CDS}$ is a functor.

For a cochain $(X_{i+1} \xrightarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and its associated infinite IFS $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{D})$ set

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{X}}(i, \mathbf{x}) := (i, \{(\dots ((X^{(s_{i-1})})^{(s_{i-2})}) \dots)^{s_0}\}),$$

where for $z \in Z$, $z^{(n)} = (z, \dots, z)$ (n times).

Then

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{X}}(i, \mathbf{x}) \in \{i\} \times \mathcal{K}(X'_i) = \{i\} \times \mathcal{K}((\dots ((X_i^{s_{i-1}})^{s_{i-2}}) \dots)^{s_0}).$$

Moreover,

$$\eta_{\mathfrak{X}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{K}(\mathfrak{X})^{(0)})}^{(1)}.$$

In addition, define

$$U_{\mathcal{X}}(i, \mathbb{K}) = (i, \langle \dots \langle \langle \bigcup^{(\|D_{i-1}\|)} \rangle^{(\|D_1\|)} \rangle \dots \rangle^{(\|D_0\|)} (\mathbb{K})),$$

where for a map f , $f^{\langle n \rangle} = f \times \dots \times f$ (n -times).

Note that

$$\mathcal{K}^2((\mathcal{X}))_i = \{i\} \times \mathcal{K}((\dots (\mathcal{K}((\dots (\mathcal{X}_i^{s_{i-1}}) \dots)^{s_0})^{\|D_{i-1}\|}) \dots)^{\|D_0\|}).$$

Then

$$U_{\mathcal{X}} \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathcal{K}^2(\mathcal{X})^{\langle 0 \rangle}, \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{X})^{\langle 0 \rangle})}^{(1)}.$$

Furthermore,

Theorem

(\mathcal{K}, η, U) is a monad.

3. Computable maps

Definition

Let (X, μ, Q) be a metric space with countable dense subspace Q . Then (X, μ, Q) is **computable** if the sets

$$\begin{aligned} & \{ (u, v, r) \in Q \times Q \times \mathbb{Q} \mid \mu(u, v) < r \} \\ & \{ (u, v, r) \in Q \times Q \times \mathbb{Q} \mid \mu(u, v) > r \} \end{aligned}$$

are effectively enumerable.

Definition

Let (X, μ, Q) and (X', μ', Q') be metric spaces with countable dense subspaces Q and Q' , respectively. A map $h: X^i \rightarrow X'$ is

1. **uniformly continuous** if there is a map $\xi: \mathbb{Q}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_+$, called **modulus of continuity**, such that for all $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{Q}_+$, $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in X^i$.

$$\mu(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) < \xi(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mu'(h(\vec{x}), h(\vec{y})) < \varepsilon.$$

2. **computable** if it has a computable modulus of continuity and there is a procedure G_h , which given $\vec{u} \in Q^i$ and $n > 0$ computes some $v \in Q'$ with

$$\mu'(h(\vec{u}), v) < 2^{-n}.$$

Definition

A cochain $(X_{i+1} \xleftarrow{D_i} X_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is **computable** if the underlying metric spaces X_i are computable and all $d \in D_i$ are computable, both uniformly in i .

Theorem

Let $((X_{i+1}, Q_{X_{i+1}}) \xleftarrow{D_i} (X_i, Q_{X_i}))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$((Y_{i+1}, Q_{Y_{i+1}}) \xleftarrow{E_i} (Y_i, Q_{Y_i}))_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be computable cochains so that stronger for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,

1. $X_i = \bigcup \{ \text{int}(\text{range}(d)) \mid d \in D_i \}$,
2. every $d \in D_i$ has a right inverse d' , uniformly computable in i , where d' is a right inverse of d if

$$d \circ d' = \text{id}_{\text{range}(d)},$$

and similarly for (Y_i, E_i, Q_{Y_i}) . Then

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}^{(0)}, \mathfrak{Y}^{(0)})} = \{ f \in \mathbb{F}(\mathfrak{X}, \mathfrak{Y})_{0^{(\text{ar}(f))}}^{(0)} \mid f \text{ computable} \}.$$