

# A note on making analytic sets open

Matthew de Brecht\*

Graduate School of Human and Environmental Studies, Kyoto University, Japan  
matthew@i.h.kyoto-u.ac.jp

Let  $X$  be an effective quasi-Polish space, and let  $\widehat{X}$  be the refinement of  $X$  that adds each (lightface)  $\Sigma_1^1$ -subset as a c.e.-open subset. Although  $\widehat{X}$  is not quasi-Polish in general, in this note we will show that there is a “nice” computable embedding of  $\widehat{X}$  into an effective quasi-Polish space  $Y$ .

**Theorem 1.** *There is a computable procedure which converts a code for an effective quasi-Polish space  $X$  into a code for an effective quasi-Polish space  $Y$  and a code for a computable retraction  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  such that (i) each fiber of  $f$  has a unique maximal element (w.r.t. the specialization order of  $Y$ ), (ii) the subspace  $M \subseteq Y$  of maximal elements of the fibers of  $f$  is computably homeomorphic to  $\widehat{X}$ , and (iii) the restriction of  $f$  to  $M$  corresponds to the canonical map from  $\widehat{X}$  to  $X$ .  $\square$*

In particular, if  $X$  is a  $T_1$ -space then  $\widehat{X}$  embeds as the maximal elements of  $Y$ . Since the subspace of maximal elements of a quasi-Polish space is a strong Choquet space, this result generalizes Theorem 25.18 in [7]. In the special case that  $X$  is the Baire space, then  $\widehat{X}$  is the Gandy-Harrington space, which has important applications in descriptive set theory (e.g. [6]) and more recently in the study of enumeration degrees [8]. It was already known that Theorem 6.1 of [9] implies the Gandy-Harrington space embeds as the maximal elements of a quasi-Polish space, but this note provides a direct computable construction.

In this note we define quasi-Polish spaces [1] using a characterization from [5] (see also [2], [3], and [4]<sup>1</sup>).

**Definition 1 ([5]).** *Let  $\prec$  be a transitive relation on  $\mathbb{N}$ . A subset  $I \subseteq \mathbb{N}$  is an ideal (with respect to  $\prec$ ) if and only if it is (1) non-empty ( $I \neq \emptyset$ ), (2) a lower set ( $a \prec b \in I \implies a \in I$ ), and (3) directed ( $a, b \in I \implies (\exists c \in I)[a \prec c \& b \prec c]$ ). The collection  $\mathbf{I}(\prec)$  of all ideals has the topology generated by basic open sets of the form  $[n]_{\prec} = \{I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec) \mid n \in I\}$ . A space is (effectively) quasi-Polish if and only if it is (computably) homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{I}(\prec)$  for some (c.e.) transitive relation  $\prec$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ .  $\square$*

These definitions also apply to relations on sets that are computably isomorphic to a c.e. subset of  $\mathbb{N}$ . We call  $\prec$  a *code* for a space  $X$  when  $X$  is computably homeomorphic to  $\mathbf{I}(\prec)$ . For example, the strict prefix relation  $\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$  on the set  $\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$  of all finite sequences of natural numbers is a code for the Baire space  $\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $\prec_1$  and  $\prec_2$  be c.e. transitive relations on  $\mathbb{N}$ . Each c.e. subset  $R \subseteq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  is a *code* for a computable partial function  $\ulcorner R \urcorner : \subseteq \mathbf{I}(\prec_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}(\prec_2)$  defined as  $\ulcorner R \urcorner(I) = \{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists m \in I) \langle m, n \rangle \in R\}$ . Note that the domain of  $\ulcorner R \urcorner$  is (lightface)  $\Pi_2^0$ . It was shown in [2]<sup>2</sup> that a total function  $f: \mathbf{I}(\prec_1) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}(\prec_2)$  is computable if and only if there is a c.e. code  $R$  with  $f = \ulcorner R \urcorner$ . We say a code  $R$  is  $(\prec_1, \prec_2)$ -closed if for each  $\langle m, n \rangle \in R$ , if  $m \prec_1 m'$  then  $\langle m', n \rangle \in R$  and if  $n' \prec_2 n$  then  $\langle m, n' \rangle \in R$ . The  $(\prec_1, \prec_2)$ -closure of  $R$  can be enumerated given  $R$ ,  $\prec_1$ , and  $\prec_2$ , and taking the closure does not change the interpretation of codes for total functions.

For the remainder of this note, fix a c.e. transitive relation  $\prec$  on  $\mathbb{N}$  and an enumeration  $(R_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of all  $(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \prec)$ -closed c.e. subsets of  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . We obtain an enumeration  $(A_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of all  $\Sigma_1^1$ -subsets of  $\mathbf{I}(\prec)$  by defining  $A_i = \{I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec) \mid (\exists P \in \mathbf{I}(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}})) \ulcorner R_i \urcorner(P) = I\}$ . For  $i, n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $R_i^{(n)}$  be the finite subset of  $R_i$  enumerated within  $n$  computation steps. Given a set  $S$ , let  $\mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(S)$  be the set of all finite subsets of  $S$ . Define a relation  $\sqsubset$  on  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathcal{P}_{\text{fin}}(\mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \times \mathbb{N})$  as  $\langle m, x, F \rangle \sqsubset \langle n, y, G \rangle$  if and only if the following all hold:

1.  $m < n$ ,  $x \prec y$ , and  $F \subseteq G$  (monotonicity),
2.  $(\forall \langle \sigma, i \rangle \in F)(\forall \langle \rho, w \rangle \in R_i^{(m)}) [\rho \prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \sigma \implies w \prec y]$ , and
3.  $(\forall \langle \sigma, i \rangle \in F)(\exists \langle \tau, i \rangle \in G) [\sigma \prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \tau \& \langle \tau, x \rangle \in R_i]$ .

\* This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 18K11166.

<sup>1</sup> Theorem 1 of [4] contains a related refinement result that applies to  $\Pi_1^0$ -sets.

<sup>2</sup> We incorrectly omitted the requirement that  $\prec_1$  be a c.e. relation in the original paper. We are grateful to Ivan Georgiev for pointing out this mistake and providing a counter example.

It is easy to see that  $\sqsubset$  is c.e. and transitive. Define  $f: \mathbf{I}(\sqsubset) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}(\prec)$  as  $f(J) = \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid (\exists m, F) \langle m, x, F \rangle \in J\}$  and define  $g: \mathbf{I}(\prec) \rightarrow \mathbf{I}(\sqsubset)$  as  $g(I) = \{\langle m, x, \emptyset \rangle \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \& x \in I\}$ . The next lemma implies  $f(J)$  is a lower set, and the other requirements for  $f(J)$  and  $g(I)$  to be ideals can be verified directly. Thus  $f$  and  $g$  are total computable functions satisfying  $f(g(I)) = I$  for each  $I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec)$ , hence  $\mathbf{I}(\prec)$  is a computable retract of  $\mathbf{I}(\sqsubset)$ .

**Lemma 1.** *If  $J \in \mathbf{I}(\sqsubset)$  and  $\langle n, y, G \rangle \in J$ , then (i)  $\langle m, y, G \rangle \in J$  for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , (ii)  $x \prec y$  implies  $\langle n, x, G \rangle \in J$ , and (iii)  $F \subseteq G$  implies  $\langle n, y, F \rangle \in J$ . In particular,  $g(I)$  is the unique minimal element of  $f^{-1}(\{I\})$  for each  $I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec)$ .*

*Proof.* For (i), fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Using the directedness of  $J$ , we can find  $\langle n', y', G' \rangle \in J$  with  $\langle n, y, G \rangle \sqsubset \langle n', y', G' \rangle$  and  $m < n'$ . Then  $\langle m, y, G \rangle \sqsubset \langle n', y', G' \rangle$  hence  $\langle m, y, G \rangle \in J$ . The proof for (ii) and (iii) are similar, but (ii) uses the assumption that  $R_i$  is  $(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \prec)$ -closed to show the third item in the definition of  $\sqsubset$  holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** *For each  $I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec)$ , there is a unique maximal element  $\hat{I}$  in  $f^{-1}(\{I\})$ .*

*Proof.*  $f^{-1}(\{I\})$  is non-empty because it contains  $g(I)$ , and it is quasi-Polish because it is  $\mathbf{\Pi}_2^0$ . We show it is directed with respect to  $\sqsubseteq$ , which is the specialization order on  $f^{-1}(\{I\})$ . Assume  $f(J_1) = f(J_2) = I$ . Set  $J = \{\langle m, x, F_1 \cup F_2 \rangle \mid \langle m, x, F_1 \rangle \in J_1 \& \langle m, x, F_2 \rangle \in J_2\}$ . It is clear that  $J$  is non-empty, and the previous lemma can be used to show it is a lower set. To see  $J$  is directed, assume  $\langle m, x, F_1 \cup F_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle m', x', F'_1 \cup F'_2 \rangle$  are in  $J$ . Fix a  $\sqsubset$ -upper bound  $\langle n_i, y_i, G_i \rangle$  of  $\langle m, x, F_i \rangle$  and  $\langle m', x', F'_i \rangle$  in  $J_i$  ( $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ). Let  $y$  be a  $\prec$ -upper bound of  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  in  $I$ , and set  $n = \max(n_1, n_2)$ . It is straightforward to show that  $\langle n, y, G_1 \cup G_2 \rangle$  is in  $J$ , and it is a  $\sqsubset$ -upper bound of  $\langle m, x, F_1 \cup F_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle m', x', F'_1 \cup F'_2 \rangle$ , hence  $J$  is directed. Thus  $J_1, J_2 \subseteq J \in f^{-1}(\{I\})$ , hence  $f^{-1}(\{I\})$  is directed. It follows from the sobriety of  $f^{-1}(\{I\})$  that it has a unique maximal element.  $\square$

Let  $M = \{\hat{I} \in \mathbf{I}(\sqsubset) \mid I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec)\}$ , which is a  $\Pi_1^1$ -subset of  $\mathbf{I}(\sqsubset)$ . For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ , let  $U_i^\sigma$  be the c.e.-open subset of all  $J \in \mathbf{I}(\sqsubset)$  with  $\langle m, x, \{\langle \sigma, i \rangle\} \rangle \in J$  for some  $m, x \in \mathbb{N}$ . For each  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$  we also define  $A_i^\sigma = \{I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec) \mid (\exists P \in \mathbf{I}(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}})) [\sigma \in P \& \ulcorner R_i \urcorner(P) = I]\}$ . We can assume our enumeration of  $(R_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is reasonable enough that there is a computable function  $h$  satisfying  $R_{h(\sigma, i)} = R_i \cap (\{\tau \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}} \mid \sigma \preceq_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}} \tau\} \times \mathbb{N})$  for each  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , hence  $A_{h(\sigma, i)} = A_i^\sigma$ . The next lemma completes the proof of Theorem 1.

**Lemma 3.**  *$U_i^\sigma \subseteq f^{-1}(A_i^\sigma)$  and  $U_i^\sigma \cap M = f^{-1}(A_i^\sigma) \cap M$  for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\sigma \in \mathbb{N}^{<\mathbb{N}}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $J \in U_i^\sigma \cap M$  and fix a  $\sqsubset$ -ascending sequence  $(\langle m_k, x_k, F_k \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  that is cofinal in  $J$ . We can assume without loss of generality that  $\langle \sigma, i \rangle \in F_0$ , so the definition of  $\sqsubset$  implies there is a  $\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ -ascending sequence  $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  with  $\sigma_0 = \sigma$  and  $\langle \sigma_k, i \rangle \in F_k$  and  $\langle \sigma_{k+1}, x_k \rangle \in R_i$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $P \in \mathbf{I}(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}})$  be the ideal generated by the  $\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}$ -ascending sequence  $(\sigma_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , and let  $I \in \mathbf{I}(\prec)$  be the ideal generated by the  $\prec$ -ascending sequence  $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . Then  $\sigma \in P$  and it can be shown that  $\ulcorner R_i \urcorner(P) = I$  by using the assumption that  $R_i$  is  $(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}}, \prec)$ -closed, hence  $I \in A_i^\sigma$ . Clearly  $I \subseteq f(J)$ , and if  $x \in f(J)$  then since  $(\langle m_k, x_k, F_k \rangle)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is cofinal in  $J$  there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $x \prec x_k$ , hence  $x \in I$ . Therefore,  $f(J) = I \in A_i^\sigma$ .

For the second claim, assume  $\hat{I} \in f^{-1}(A_i^\sigma) \cap M$  and fix  $P \in \mathbf{I}(\prec_{\mathbb{N}^{\mathbb{N}}})$  with  $\sigma \in P$  and  $\ulcorner R_i \urcorner(P) = I$ . Define  $J = \{\langle m, x, F \rangle \mid m \in \mathbb{N} \& x \in I \& F \subseteq P \times \{i\} \text{ is finite}\}$ . Then  $J \in U_i^\sigma$  and  $f(J) = I$  hence  $\hat{I} \in U_i^\sigma$ .  $\square$

## References

1. M. de Brecht, *Quasi-Polish spaces*, Annals of Pure and Applied Logic **164** (2013), 356–381.
2. ———, *Some notes on spaces of ideals and computable topology*, Proceedings of the 16th Conference on Computability in Europe, CiE 2020, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 12098, 2020, pp. 26–37.
3. ———, *The category of quasi-Polish spaces as a represented space*, The 68th Topology Symposium of the Topology Section of the Mathematical Society of Japan (<https://www.mathsoc.jp/section/topology/topsymp.html>), 2021.
4. M. de Brecht, T. Kihara, and V. Selivanov, *Ideal presentations and numberings of some classes of effective quasi-Polish spaces*, (arXiv:2301.08469, to appear in Computability), 2023.
5. M. de Brecht, A. Pauly, and M. Schröder, *Overt choice*, Computability **9** (2020), 169–191.
6. L. Harrington, A. Kechris, and A. Louveau, *A Glimm-Effros dichotomy for Borel equivalence relations*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **3** (1990), no. 4, 903–928.
7. A.S. Kechris, *Classical Descriptive Set Theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1995.
8. T. Kihara, K.M. Ng, and A. Pauly, *Enumeration degrees and non-metrizable topology*, arXiv: 1904.04107, 2020.
9. C. Mummert and F. Stephan, *Topological aspects of Poset spaces*, Michigan Mathematical Journal **59** (2010), no. 1, 3 – 24.