

# Proof-theoretic strength and indicator arguments

Keita Yokoyama

Japan Advanced Institute of Science and Technology

September 16, 2016

# Indicators

What is indicator?

- It is introduced by Kirby and Paris in 1970's, and the general frame work is given by Kaye.
- It is used to prove the independence of the Paris-Harrington principle from PA.
- A tool to study cuts of nonstandard models of arithmetic.

Indicators are useful to analyze the proof-theoretic strength of combinatorial statements in arithmetic.

Note that most theorems in this talk are more or less folklores (in the field of nonstandard models of arithmetic).

# Nonstandard models of arithmetic

In this talk we will mainly use the base system  $EFA = I\Delta_0 + \exp$  or  $RCA_0^*$ , which consists of  $I\Delta_0^0 + \exp$  plus  $\Delta_1^0$ -comprehension, and models we will consider will be countable nonstandard.

Let  $M \models EFA$ .

- $I \subseteq M$  is said to be a cut (abbr.  $I \subseteq_e M$ ) if  $a < b \in I \rightarrow a \in I$  and  $I$  is closed under addition  $+$  and multiplication  $\cdot$ .
- $\text{Cod}(M) = \{X \subseteq M \mid X \text{ is } M\text{-finite}\}$ , where  $M$ -finite set is a set coded by an element in  $M$  (by means of the usual binary coding).
- for  $Z \in \text{Cod}(M)$ ,  $|Z|$  denotes the internal cardinality of  $Z$  in  $M$ .
- for  $I \subseteq_e M$ ,  $\text{Cod}(M/I) := \{X \cap I \mid X \in \text{Cod}(M)\}$ .

## Proposition

*If  $I \subseteq_e M$ , then  $I$  is a  $\Sigma_0$ -elementary substructure of  $M$ .*

## Cuts

There are several important types of cuts.

### Theorem (exponentially closed cut, Simpson/Smith)

Let  $M \models \text{EFA}$ , and let  $I \subsetneq_e M$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- ①  $(I, \text{Cod}(M/I)) \models \text{WKL}_0^*$ .
- ②  $I$  is closed under exp.

### Theorem (semi-regular cut)

Let  $M \models \text{EFA}$ , and let  $I \subsetneq_e M$ . Then the following are equivalent.

- ①  $(I, \text{Cod}(M/I)) \models \text{WKL}_0$ .
- ②  $I$  is semi-regular, i.e., if  $X \in \text{Cod}(M)$  and  $|X| \in I$ , then  $X \cap I$  is bounded in  $I$ .

These combinatorial characterization of cuts play key roles in the definition of indicators.

# Indicators

Let  $T$  be a theory of second-order arithmetic.

A  $\Sigma_0$ -definable function  $Y : [M]^2 \rightarrow M$  is said to be an *indicator* for  $T \supseteq \text{WKL}_0^*$  if

- $Y(x, y) \leq y$ ,
- if  $x' \leq x < y \leq y'$ , then  $Y(x, y) \leq Y(x', y')$ ,
- $Y(x, y) > \omega$  if and only if there exists a cut  $I \subseteq_e M$  such that  $x \in I < y$  and  $(I, \text{Cod}(M/I)) \models T$ .

(Here,  $Y(x, y) > \omega$  means that  $Y(x, y) > n$  for any standard natural number  $n$ .)

## Example

- $Y(x, y) = \max\{n : \exp^n(x) \leq y\}$  is an indicator for  $\text{WKL}_0^*$ .
- $Y(x, y) = \max\{n : \text{any } f : [x, y]^n \rightarrow 2 \text{ has a homogeneous set } Z \subseteq [x, y] \text{ such that } |Z| > \min Z\}$  is an indicator for  $\text{ACA}_0$ .

# Basic properties of indicators

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is an indicator for a theory  $T$ , then for any  $n \in \omega$ ,  
$$T \vdash \forall x \exists y Y(x, y) \geq n.$$

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is an indicator for a theory  $T$ , then,  $T$  is a  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative extension of  $\text{EFA} + \{\forall x \exists y Y(x, y) \geq n \mid n \in \omega\}$ .

Let  $F_n^Y(x) = \min\{y \mid Y(x, y) \geq n\}$ .

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is an indicator for a theory  $T$  and  $T \vdash \forall x \exists y \theta(x, y)$  for some  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\theta$ , then, there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$T \vdash \forall x \exists y < F_n^Y(x) \theta(x, y).$$

Let  $F_k$  be the  $k$ -th fast-growing function.

### Example

- $Y(x, y) = \max\{k : F_k(x) < y\}$  is an indicator for  $\text{WKL}_0$ .

Thus, we have

- $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \forall x \exists y F_k(x) < y$  for any  $k \in \omega$ ,
- if  $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \forall x \exists y \theta(x, y)$  then there exists some  $k \in \omega$  such that  $\text{WKL}_0 \vdash \forall x \exists y < F_k(x) \theta(x, y)$ ,
- the proof-theoretic strength of  $\text{WKL}_0$  is the same as the totality of all primitive recursive functions.

Once you find an indicator for a theory  $T$ , one can characterize its  $\Pi_2^0$ -part.

### Theorem

*Any consistent recursive theory  $T \supseteq \text{WKL}_0^*$  (or first-order theory extending EFA) has an indicator.*

# Set indicators

One can generalize indicators to capture wider class of formulas.

Let  $T$  be a theory of second-order arithmetic.

A  $\Sigma_0$ -definable function  $Y : \text{Cod}(M) \rightarrow M$  is said to be a *set indicator* for  $T \supseteq \text{WKL}_0^*$  if

- $Y(F) \leq \max F$ ,
- if  $F \subseteq F'$ , then  $Y(F) \leq Y(F')$ ,
- $Y(F) > \omega$  if and only if there exists a cut  $I \subseteq_e M$  such that  $\min F \in I < \max F$  and  $(I, \text{Cod}(M/I)) \models T$ , and  $F \cap I$  is unbounded in  $I$ .

Note that if  $Y$  is a set indicator, then  $Y'(x, y) = Y([x, y])$  is an indicator function.

## Example

- $Y(F) = \max\{m : F \text{ is } \omega^m\text{-large}\}$  is an indicator for  $\text{WKL}_0$ .



# Basic properties of indicators (review)

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is an indicator for a theory  $T$ , then for any  $n \in \omega$ ,  
$$T \vdash \forall x \exists y Y(x, y) \geq n.$$

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is an indicator for a theory  $T$ , then,  $T$  is a  $\Pi_2^0$ -conservative extension of  $\text{EFA} + \{\forall x \exists y Y(x, y) \geq n \mid n \in \omega\}$ .

Let  $F_n^Y(x) = \min\{y \mid Y(x, y) \geq n\}$ .

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is an indicator for a theory  $T$  and  $T \vdash \forall x \exists y \theta(x, y)$  for some  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\theta$ , then, there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$T \vdash \forall x \exists y < F_n^Y(x) \theta(x, y).$$

# Basic properties of set indicators

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is a set indicator for a theory  $T$ , then for any  $n \in \omega$ ,

$$T \vdash \forall X \subseteq_{\text{inf}} \mathbb{N} \exists F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X (Y(F) \geq n).$$

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is a set indicator for a theory  $T$ , then,  $T$  is a  $\tilde{\Pi}_3^0$ -conservative extension of  $\text{RCA}_0^* + \{\forall X \subseteq_{\text{inf}} \mathbb{N} \exists F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X (Y(F) \geq n) \mid n \in \omega\}$ .

Here, a  $\tilde{\Pi}_3^0$ -formula is of the form  $\forall X \psi(X)$  where  $\psi$  is  $\Pi_3^0$ .

## Theorem

If  $Y$  is a set indicator for a theory  $T$  and

$T \vdash \forall X \subseteq_{\text{inf}} \mathbb{N} \exists F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X \theta(F)$  for some  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\theta$ , then, there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that

$$T \vdash \forall Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N} (Y(Z) \geq n \rightarrow \exists F \subseteq Z \theta(F)).$$

## Example

- $Y(F) = \max\{m : F \text{ is } \omega^m\text{-large}\}$  is an indicator for  $\text{WKL}_0$ .

Thus,

- all the  $\tilde{\Pi}_3^0$ -consequences of  $\text{WKL}_0$  can be captured by  $\omega^m$ -largeness notion.

## Question

Is there a canonical way to find indicators?

# Ramsey-like statements

## Definition (Ramsey-like formulas)

A *Ramsey-like- $\Pi_2^1$ -formula* is a  $\Pi_2^1$ -formula of the form

$$(\forall f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow k)(\exists Y)(Y \text{ is infinite} \wedge \Psi(f, Y))$$

where  $\Psi(f, Y)$  is of the form  $(\forall G \subseteq_{\text{fin}} Y)\Psi_0(f \upharpoonright [[0, \max G]_{\mathbb{N}}]^n, G)$  such that  $\Psi_0$  is a  $\Delta_0^0$ -formula.

- In particular,  $\text{RT}_k^n$  is a Ramsey-like- $\Pi_2^1$ -statement where  $\Psi(f, Y)$  is the formula “ $Y$  is homogeneous for  $f$ ”.

## Theorem

Any restricted  $\Pi_2^1$ -formula of the form  $\forall X \exists Y \Theta(X, Y)$  where  $\Theta$  is a  $\Sigma_3^0$ -formula is equivalent to a Ramsey-like formula over  $\text{WKL}_0$ .

Note that this theorem can be proved by a canonical syntactical calculation.

# Density

## Definition (EFA, Density notion)

Given a Ramsey-like formula

$$\Gamma = (\forall f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow k)(\exists Y)(Y \text{ is infinite} \wedge \Psi(f, Y)),$$

- $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *0-dense*( $\Gamma$ ) if  $|Z|, \min Z > 2$ ,
- $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *(m + 1)-dense*( $\Gamma$ ) if
  - (for any  $n, k < \min Z$  and) for any  $f : [[0, \max Z]]^n \rightarrow k$ , there is an  $m$ -dense( $\Gamma$ ) set  $Y \subseteq Z$  such that  $\Psi(f, Y)$  holds, and,
  - for any partition  $Z_0 \sqcup \dots \sqcup Z_{\ell-1} = Z$  such that  $\ell \leq Z_0 < \dots < Z_{\ell-1}$ , one of  $Z_i$ 's is  $m$ -dense( $\Gamma$ ).

Note that “ $Z$  is  $m$ -dense( $\Gamma$ )” can be expressed by a  $\Delta_0$ -formula.

Put  $Y_\Gamma(F) := \max\{m \mid F \text{ is } m\text{-dense}(\Gamma)\}$ .

## Theorem

$Y_\Gamma$  is a set indicator for  $WKL_0 + \Gamma$ .

# Characterizing proof-theoretic strength by indicators

One can characterize the proof-theoretic strength of a finite restricted  $\Pi_2^1$ -theory  $T \supseteq \text{WKL}_0$  as follows.

- Find a Ramsey-like formula  $\Gamma$  such that  $T \leftrightarrow \text{WKL}_0 + \Gamma$ .
- Then,  $m$ -dense( $\Gamma$ ) sets capture  $\tilde{\Pi}_3^0$ -part of  $T$ .
- In particular, the provably recursive functions of  $T$  are  $\{F_m \mid F_m(x) = \min\{y \mid [x, y] \text{ is } m\text{-dense}(\Gamma)\}\}$ .

Actually, one can generalize the above argument for infinite theories.

One can also replace the base theory  $\text{WKL}_0$  with other systems, e.g.,  $\text{WKL}_0^*$  or  $\text{ACA}_0$ .

Density with the base  $ACA_0$ Definition (EFA, Density notion with the base  $ACA_0$ )

Given a Ramsey-like formula

$$\Gamma = (\forall f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow k)(\exists Y)(Y \text{ is infinite} \wedge \Psi(f, Y)),$$

- $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *0-dense'* ( $\Gamma$ ) if  $|Z| > 4, \min Z > 2$ ,
- $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *(m + 1)-dense'* ( $\Gamma$ ) if
  - (for any  $n, k < \min Z$  and) for any  $f : [[0, \max Z]]^n \rightarrow k$ , there is an *m-dense'* ( $\Gamma$ ) set  $Y \subseteq Z$  such that  $\Psi(f, Y)$  holds, and,
  - for any partition  $f : [Z]^3 \rightarrow \ell$  such that  $\ell < \min Z$  there is an *m-dense'* ( $\Gamma$ ) set  $Y \subseteq Z$  which is *f-homogeneous*.

Put  $Y'_\Gamma(F) := \max\{m \mid F \text{ is } m\text{-dense}'(\Gamma)\}$ .

## Theorem

$Y'_\Gamma$  is a set indicator for  $ACA_0 + \Gamma$ .

With  $ACA_0$ , one can always characterize the  $\Pi_1^1$ -part of  $\Gamma$ .

Density with the base  $WKL_0^*$ Definition (EFA, Density notion with the base  $WKL_0^*$ )

Given a Ramsey-like formula

$$\Gamma = (\forall f : [\mathbb{N}]^n \rightarrow k)(\exists Y)(Y \text{ is infinite} \wedge \Psi(f, Y)),$$

- $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *0-dense\**( $\Gamma$ ) if  $Z \neq \emptyset$ ,
- $Z \subseteq_{\text{fin}} \mathbb{N}$  is said to be *(m + 1)-dense\**( $\Gamma$ ) if
  - (for any  $n, k < \min Z$  and) for any  $f : [[0, \max Z]]^n \rightarrow k$ , there is an *m-dense\**( $\Gamma$ ) set  $Y \subseteq Z$  such that  $\Psi(f, Y)$  holds, and,
  - $Z \setminus [0, \exp(\min Z)]$  is *m-dense\**( $\Gamma$ ).

Put  $Y_\Gamma^*(F) := \max\{m \mid F \text{ is } m\text{-dense}^*(\Gamma)\}$ .

## Theorem

$Y_\Gamma^*$  is a set indicator for  $WKL_0^* + \Gamma$ .



# Conservation results

- $WKL_0^* + RT_k^n$  is a  $\tilde{\Pi}_3^0$ -conservative extension of  $RCA_0^* + \{\forall X \subseteq_{\text{inf}} \mathbb{N} \exists F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X (F \text{ is } n\text{-dense}^*(RT_k^n)) \mid n \in \omega\}$ .  
 $= RCA_0^*$
- $WKL_0 + RT_2^2$  is a  $\tilde{\Pi}_3^0$ -conservative extension of  $RCA_0^* + \{\forall X \subseteq_{\text{inf}} \mathbb{N} \exists F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X (F \text{ is } n\text{-dense}(RT_2^2)) \mid n \in \omega\}$ .  
 $= RCA_0$
- $ACA_0 + RT = ACA_0'$  is a  $\Pi_1^1$ -conservative extension of  $RCA_0^* + \{\forall X \subseteq_{\text{inf}} \mathbb{N} \exists F \subseteq_{\text{fin}} X (F \text{ is } n\text{-dense}(RT)) \mid n \in \omega\}$ .
- ...

## Question

Can indicator arguments be converted to proof-interpretation style conservation results?

# Thank you!

- Richard Kaye, Models of Peano Arithmetic, Oxford University Press, 1991.
- Ludovic Patey and Y, The proof-theoretic strength of Ramsey's theorem for pairs and two colors, draft, available at <http://arxiv.org/abs/1601.00050>
- Y, On the strength of Ramsey's theorem without  $\Sigma_1$ -induction. Math. Log. Q., 59(1-2):108–111, 2013.

This work is partially supported by JSPS fellowship for research abroad, and JSPS Core-to-Core Program (A. Advanced Research Networks).